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ABSTRACT

The thermal properties of plasma-generated aluminum oxyfluoride passivation layers at the surface of aluminum thin films are measured. The oxyfluoride layers are generated using plasmas produced in mixtures of NH3 and SF6 to simultaneously remove oxygen and add fluorine to the aluminum surface, an alternative approach to the more conventional two-step methods that utilize HF treatments to remove the native oxide followed by metal-fluoride (e.g., MgF2, LiF, and AlF3) thin film deposition that serves to protect the aluminum surface from further oxidation. Here, the change in thermal properties of the layers as a function of plasma processing time is determined. A significant reduction in thermal boundary conductance is measured with the increasing treatment time, which can be related to the increasing fluorine content in the layers. Acoustic reflection measurements indicate this reduced thermal boundary conductance is associated with lower bonding strength to aluminum with increasing fluorine.
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The predominant failure mechanisms in thin film optical devices and materials arise from thermomechanical effects within the lens, mirror, etc.1–3 For example, a typical mirror is composed of a thin aluminum film on a given substrate; upon reflection of light, a portion of the photon energy is absorbed by the metal film, which creates a temperature rise on the surface.1 To avoid the aforementioned thermomechanical failure, it is common to utilize a high thermal conductivity substrate to dissipate heat from the film surface into the bulk of the substrate1 and thus avoid damaging effects due to energy confinement, thermal buildup, and increased temperatures. While this works in certain regimes, a large number of works have shown that the damage threshold from high-power laser interactions,1 flip-chip photodiodes,8 and other electronic devices does not necessarily scale with the thermal conductivity of the underlying substrate. Rather, the thermal resistance of the interface8 between the thin film and its supporting substrate becomes a limiting factor and defines the threshold at which these devices or material systems undergo thermal failure.

Aluminum is a good choice of material for UV optics due to its excellent reflectivity to wavelengths as short as 90 nm. Unfortunately, the native oxide layer that readily forms on aluminum severely degrades the performance of aluminum reflectors. A promising solution is the use of fluorine-containing layers that protect the aluminum from oxidation and provide high transmission.1–5 Further, aluminum fluoride layers are useful as barrier coatings in advanced Li-ion battery architectures, where localization of large temperature rise and thermal runaway are predominant failure mechanisms.6–8 To date, the thermal properties of aluminum fluoride thin films have yet to be reported, leaving the potential for unknown thermal degradation of these materials.

In this work, we measure the thermal properties of thin aluminum oxyfluoride (AlOxFy) layers on the surface of aluminum films evaporated on glass substrates using time-domain thermoreflectance (TDTR); this optical pump-probe technique measures both the thermal resistance of the AlOxFy layer and the thermal boundary conductance (TBC) associated with the interface comprising AlOxFy and its underlying aluminum substrate.1,3,11 Furthermore, this method allows for the measurement of acoustic reflection from AlOxFy, providing additional insight into the mechanical and adhesive properties of the AlOxFy film.12–17
AlO_x F_y layers were prepared by plasma treatment of bare Al coatings on glass substrates in the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory’s (NRL) Large Area Plasma Processing System (LAPPS). The system makes use of linear hollow cathode electron sources to generate sheet-like electron beams with typical current densities of 1–5 mA/cm^2 and beam energies between 1 and 5 keV. coaxial magnetic fields of 100–300 Gauss are used to collimate the electron beam and thus improve uniformity along its length. These parameters are sufficient to produce uniform plasma sheets compatible with typical wafer-scale systems (diameter > 300 mm). LAPPS is able to generate uniform, very low electron temperature, T_e (<1 eV), plasmas with densities in the range of 10^{12}–10^{13} cm^-3, thus providing the ability to deliver a well-controlled flux of ions characterized by very low energies (<5 eV) to material surfaces located adjacent to the plasma sheet. The low ion energies serve to preserve surface morphology and practically eliminate ion-induced damage during processing. For this work, LAPPS was combined with an auxiliary discharge, which serves to dissociate the working gas as it enters the reactor and provides additional control over the ratio of ions to reactive neutrals incident on the surface.

Gas mixtures of argon (Ar), sulfur-hexafluoride (SF_6), and ammonia (NH_3) were used to treat aluminum thin films deposited on glass. This gas mixture was passed through an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) discharge source operating at 350 W, which significantly increases the relative concentration of F radicals in the reactor compared to the use of the electron beam alone. This mixture was chosen to provide both a large flux of F atoms and HF radicals to the surface. The latter is likely formed through hydrogen abstraction from the NH_3 molecule by F atoms. Evidence for the production of gas-phase HF, known to be highly effective at removing oxygen from surfaces and fluorinating Al_2O_3, is shown in Fig. 1.

The material systems studied in this work are fabricated from an 80 nm thick aluminum electron-beam evaporated on fused quartz (SiO_2) substrates at a chamber pressure of 10^-6 Torr; the glass substrate is alcohol cleaned followed by an O_2 plasma treatment prior to deposition. The native oxide layer develops on the surface of the Al film due to exposure to the ambient plasma prior to plasma treatment. Pre and post-treatment X-Ray Photo-electron Spectroscopy (XPS) measurements, shown in Table I, show a plasma exposure of 240 s transforms the native oxide layer (Al_2O_3) into a Fluorine-rich (AlO_x F_y) layer at the surface. From analysis of the high-resolution spectra (not shown), we estimate the AlF_x O_y layer to be less than 5 nm in thickness. That is to say, the plasma treatment involves the conversion of the native oxide layer, Al_2O_3 into an AlF_x O_y layer of comparable thickness.

![FIG. 1. (Left) Schematic of the LAPPS configuration with an auxiliary inductively coupled plasma radical source. (Right) UV spectrum of Ar/SF_6/NH_3 plasma showing the presence of excited HF molecules.](image)

**TABLE I. Surface composition via XPS of plasma-treated and untreated aluminum films.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma chemistry</th>
<th>% Al (XPS)</th>
<th>% O (XPS)</th>
<th>% F (XPS)</th>
<th>% C (XPS)</th>
<th>Treatment time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar/SF_6/NH_3</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 sccm/4.4 sccm/1.8 sccm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As-received sample</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As was the case for a variety of material systems in previous works, the F concentration scales with dose, and so it is reasonable to expect that the F concentration increases with plasma exposure times.

For the conductance measurements, we ensure proper sensitivity in our TDTR measurements to the conductance of the thin AlO_x F_y on the surface of the Al film, we electron-beam evaporate an additional 80 ± 4 nm Al film onto the AlO_x F_y layer. This additional film thus acts as our optothermal transducer during the thermal measurements and provides symmetry about the AlO_x F_y layer, as equivalent TBCs at both Al/AlO_x F_y interfaces can be assumed. To assure sample-to-sample consistency, both the initial Al film and the final Al transducer for all samples are created in a single deposition. The remaining ∼5% deviation in the film thickness across the sample surface is the primary source of uncertainty in our TDTR measurements, and the values of thermal conductance are obtained through the oxfluoride layer and its respective interfaces.

As shown in Fig. 2, we find over a twofold decrease in the measured thermal conductance after 240 s of plasma treatment; this thermal conductance is composed of the thermal resistance of the interface layer itself (Al_2O_3 or AlO_x F_y) as well as the TBC between the top and underlying Al films. In other words, assuming no change in the thermal conductivity of the passivation layer relative to the native oxide, the thermal conductance of an Al/AlO_x F_y interface is found to be approximately two times greater than the thermal conductance across an Al/AlO_x F_y interface; more on this TBC reduction is discussed below.

More rigorously for this experimental geometry, the measured thermal conductance, G, can be represented as a series thermal resistance, given by

\[ G_{measured} = \left(\frac{2}{\text{TBC} + \frac{d}{K}}\right)^{-1}, \]  

where TBC is simply the thermal boundary conductance between the Al films and the AlO_x F_y layer, while \(d\) and \(K\) are the thermal conductivity and thickness of the AlO_x F_y layer, respectively. Note the factor of two arises from the assumption of interfacial symmetry about the intermediate layer.

We begin by considering the case of the native oxide, Al_2O_3, at zero processing times. There are two limiting cases regarding the thermal conductivity in this equation; pure, crystalline Al_2O_3 with a thermal conductivity of \(\approx 35 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}\) and fully amorphous, dense Al_2O_3 at not less than \(\approx 1 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}\). With these limits, we find that the measured TBC at the Al/Al_2O_3 interface is 220–330 W m \(^{-1}\) K \(^{-1}\). These values are within the limits of previously measured Al/Al_2O_3 TBCs and are on par with diffuse mismatch model calculations in previous works.

In the case of Al/AlO_x F_y interfaces, there are no previous values from “bulk” thermal conductivity measurements. Furthermore, the
assumption of a crystallinelike thermal conductivity for these plasma-processed layers is most likely not applicable; numerous works have shown that AlF3 and AlOyFx layers and coatings are amorphous and lack long-range symmetry.30–33 While the degree of crystallinity of these oxyfluoride layers is unknown, we can determine realistic bounds for the thermal boundary conductance of the Al/AlOyFx interface. Based on the minimum limit to the thermal conductivity of amorphous solids, a fully amorphous AlOyFx layer has a thermal conductivity of likely not less than 1 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, whereas a fully crystalline structure would not exceed that of its crystalline oxide counterpart (35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹). Repeating the same series thermal resistor approach for the plasma-processed AlOyFx layer with this assumed thermal conductivity range of 1 to 35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ yields an Al/AlOyFx TBC between 98 and 112 MW m⁻² K⁻¹ for the longest-processed sample. While the aforementioned assumption of symmetry may not be strictly valid, as plasma processing may produce a slight depth-dependent change in the composition, it would not drastically affect this extracted TBC for Al/AlOyFx interfaces. If one were to assume a dependent change in the composition, it would not drastically affect the observed acoustic blip, where a safely full, properly bonded interface would not exceed that of its crystalline oxide counterpart (35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹). Repeating the same series thermal resistor approach for the plasma-processed AlOyFx layer with this assumed thermal conductivity range of 1 to 35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ yields an Al/AlOyFx interface TBC between 98 and 112 MW m⁻² K⁻¹ for the longest-processed sample. While the aforementioned assumption of symmetry may not be exactly valid, as plasma processing may produce a slight depth-dependent change in the composition, it would not drastically affect this extracted TBC for Al/AlOyFx interfaces. If one were to assume a dependent change in the composition, it would not drastically affect the observed acoustic blip, where a safely full, properly bonded interface would not exceed that of its crystalline oxide counterpart (35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹). Repeating the same series thermal resistor approach for the plasma-processed AlOyFx layer with this assumed thermal conductivity range of 1 to 35 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ yields an Al/AlOyFx interface TBC between 98 and 112 MW m⁻² K⁻¹ for the longest-processed sample.

Conversely, in cases where the transducer is poorly bonded to the substrate, one would expect a reduced damping factor and thus acoustic picosecond acoustic measurements for the four samples; this method has been described in-depth in numerous works.9,17,41 In short, this method allows for direct measure of zone-center acoustic phonon propagation in the metal transducer and its dissipation across the substrate interface. Experimentally, an acoustic strain pulse is launched from the Al surface toward the passivation layer. Upon interaction with this interface, the strain pulse partially reflects toward the surface of the film and partially transmits toward the substrate. As the measured reflectivity is sensitive to changes in strain at the surface of the Al film, a "blip" can be observed in the transient reflectance data as this strain pulse reaches the surface [see Fig. 3(a)]. This acoustic wave will then undergo another reflection at the Al/air interface, thus rebonding toward the passivation layer a second time; this process will repeat until the acoustic wave has fully dissipated. Each interaction with the film/substrate interface leads to an exponential decay in the magnitude of this acoustic pulse due to energy transmission across the interface, where the time-dependency of the measured reflectance can be described by the following equation:17,42

\[ I(t, T) = A \cdot \exp\left(-\Gamma t\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T} t - \delta\right) - B \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \]

where A, B, and δ are scaling factors, Γ is the damping factor of the measured acoustic intensity due to interfacial energy transfer, and T is the period in which the acoustic wave reaches the sample surface, and the exponential function accounts for thermal decay across the metal film. The acoustic energy transmission associated with this process can be quantified through this damping factor, Γ, and the periodicity of the observed acoustic blip, T, each obtained from fitting Eq. (2) to the experimental pump-probe data, via

\[ \text{Acoustic transmission} = 1 - \exp\left(-\Gamma^* T \right). \]

For example, at a well-bonded interface, typically associated with high TBCs, more acoustic energy can be transmitted across the interface, leading to an increase in this acoustic transmission factor.36 Conversely, in cases where the transducer is poorly bonded to the substrate, one would expect a reduced damping factor and thus acoustic

FIG. 2. Measured thermal conductance as a function of plasma processing time for conversion of native Al2O3 (zero process time) into AlOyFx coatings. This represents the effective conductance of the two Al/AlOyFx interfaces as well as the intrinsic thermal resistance of the AlOyFx layer. Regardless, over a factor of two change is found relative to the native Al2O3 film (i.e., zero processing time).

FIG. 3. (a) Measured ratio of the in-phase to out-of-phase signal at early pump-probe time delays for an 80 nm Al/native oxide (Al2O3)/80 nm Al film (dashed blue line) and an 80 nm Al/AlOyFx/80 nm Al film (straight red line) on a SiO2 substrate; an acoustic echo can be observed every ~22 ps on the surface of the top Al film. The intensity of this acoustic pulse over time is related to its transmission at the Al oxide interface, which is proportional to bonding between the two layers. (b) Acoustic transmission as a function of measured thermal conductance across the AlOyFx and Al/native oxide interface; a general monotonic increase is observed, implying interfacial bond strength is a dominant factor for the change in TBC observed in the various layers.17,42

To gain experimental insight into the bond strength between the Al transducer and the passivation layer, we perform
transmission across the interface. Indeed, upon comparing the measured acoustic transmission of our Al/AlOx:Fy films with the measured thermal conductance across the passivation layer, we find there is a nearly linear, monotonic trend between the two parameters, as shown in Fig. 3(b). This agreement implies that the passivation layer is weakly bonded to the metal Al film. Through simple inspection of the Ellingham diagram for oxides and fluorides, one can see that the Gibbs free energy associated with aluminum oxide formation is nearly 25% lower (greater magnitude) than that of aluminum fluoride. From this perspective alone, it is not surprising that Al-F bonds would be weaker than Al-O bonds and thus lead to a reduction in metallic film adhesion to the oxyfluoride layer compared to that of the oxide layer alone, ultimately reducing the thermal conductance across the processed layer. We further note that the observed periodicity of the acoustic signal remains unchanged for various processing conditions, indicating there is no measurable change in the thickness of the plasma-processed oxyfluoride layer. Finally, given the low ion energies associated with the plasma, roughness or defect formation is not likely to play a meaningful role in the reduction in thermal conductance or acoustic transmission with increasing plasma processing times. The ability of the LAPPS system to chemically modify materials without plasma induced damage is well documented.

In summary, we have experimentally measured the interfacial thermal conductance between an Al film and an AlOx:Fy layer grown via plasma-processing. These values were compared with the TBC of aluminum and its native oxide, which was shown to be in excellent agreement with previous experiments and theories. The TBC of Al/AlOx:Fy interfaces is found to be 2 to 3 times lower than that of the Al/native oxide interface. Through picosecond acoustic measurements, it was determined that changes in interfacial bonding are the predominant mechanism for changes in TBC between the layers. These findings have important implications for the use of AlF3 layers as optical films and barrier coatings in battery architectures, as it becomes more necessary to account for thermal accumulation due to the lower conductance of these layers.
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